bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/610436. this version posted April 16, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

1	COLLECTIVE AGGRESSIVENESS LIMITS COLONY PERSISTENCE IN HIGH BUT NOT LOW
2	ELEVATION SITES IN AMAZONIAN SOCIAL SPIDERS
3	James L. L. Lichtenstein ¹ , David N. Fisher ² , Brendan L. McEwen ² , Daniel T. Nondorf ³ , Esteban
4	Calvache ⁴ , Clara Schmitz ⁵ , Jana Elässer ⁵ , Jonathan N. Pruitt ^{1,2*}
5	¹ Department of Ecology, Evolution & Marine Biology, University of California - Santa Barbara,
6	Santa Barbara, CA USA 93106
7	² Department of Psychology, Neuroscience & Behaviour, McMaster University, Hamilton
8	Ontario, Canada L8S 4K1
9	³ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI
10	48109
11	⁴ Department of Biology, Pontifica Universidad Católica del Ecuador, Quito, Ecuador 1076
12	⁵ Amazon Regional University Ikiam, Tena, Ecaudor 150150
13	*Denotes corresponding author

1	.4

ABSTRACT

15	Identifying the traits that foster group survival in contrasting environments is important for
16	understanding local adaptation in social systems. Here we evaluate the relationship between the
17	aggressiveness of social spider colonies and their persistence along an elevation gradient using
18	the Amazonian spider, Anelosimus eximius. We found that colonies of A. eximius exhibit
19	repeatable differences in their collective aggressiveness, and that colony aggressiveness is linked
20	with persistence in a site-specific manner. Less aggressive colonies are better able to persist at
21	high-elevation sites, which lack colony-sustaining large-bodied prey, whereas colony aggression
22	was not related to chance of persistence at low-elevation sites. This suggests resistance to
23	resource limitation through docility promotes colony survival at high elevations. These data
24	reveal that the collective phenotypes that relate to colony persistence vary by site, and thus, the
25	path of social evolution in these environments is likely to be affected.
26	Key words: Araneae, collective behavior, insect abundance, life history, multilevel selection

С	7
2	1

INTRODUCTION

Although social evolution provides numerous benefits for group constituents (Krause & Ruxton, 28 29 2002), social groups can also vary considerably in their success (ants: Gordon, 2013, social 30 spiders: Aviles, 1986, honey bees: Watanabe, 2008). For a variety of social organisms, many or 31 most of the social groups ever founded will swiftly end in their collective demise (Tibbetts & 32 Reeve, 2003, Hahn & Tschinkel, 1997, Aviles & Tufino, 1998). In some taxa, even social groups in apparent good health can fall victim to colony extinction events (Pruitt, 2012). Thus, any 33 feature that enables groups to persist in their environment is likely to foster their success. Social 34 35 organisms provide an interesting case study for evolutionary ecologists, because trait differences occur at both the individual level and between groups, in terms of their collective traits (Jandt et 36 al., 2014, Bengston & Jandt, 2014, Wray & Seeley, 2011). Like individual traits, a growing body 37 of evidence conveys that group traits are often associated with group success (Shaffer et al., 38 2016, Gordon, 2013, Wray et al., 2011), and that these links can vary between environments 39 (Pruitt & Goodnight, 2014, Pruitt et al., 2018). Site-specific selection may therefore contribute to 40 biodiversity by promoting intraspecific variation and local adaptation in group-level traits. 41 Social spiders are a useful model with which to explore the evolutionary ecology of 42 43 group extinction events and collective behavior in general. This is because social spider groups emerge and disappear with high frequencies (reviewed in Aviles & Guevara, 2017). This, and 44 45 because groups are inbred and composed of highly related individuals (Riechert & Roeloffs, 46 1993, Aviles, 1993, Henschel et al., 1995), means that group success is a major determinant of 47 individuals' inclusive fitness. Here we explore the degree to which group behavior is linked with 48 group persistence using a highly social spider, the Amazonian spider Anelosimus eximius 49 (Araneae, Theridiidae). This species occurs across a range of habitat types from Panama to

Lichtenstein et al. 3

50	Argentina at varying elevations. We use this variation in elevation to examine whether the
51	relationship between group behavior and persistence varies along an elevation gradient. In
52	particular, we hypothesise that collective aggressiveness should be favored at sites with low prey
53	availability (Pruitt et al., 2018). For A. eximius, high-elevation sites are reasoned to be resource-
54	limited because they harbor smaller average prey sizes (Yip et al., 2008, Powers & Aviles, 2007,
55	Guevara & Aviles, 2007, Guevara & Aviles, 2015). By contrast, we predict that less aggressive
56	colonies will be favored in high-resource and enemy-rich environments, like lowland rainforests
57	(Purcell & Aviles, 2008). Thus, we predict that selection on collective aggressiveness will mimic
58	the usual patterns observed in solitary spiders and other taxa, where low resources favor
59	heightened aggression and responsiveness towards prey (Riechert, 1993, Magurran & Seghers,
60	1991, Dunbrack et al., 1996). If this is so, then it would hint that theory developed for behavioral
61	evolution in solitary organisms can be redeployed to correctly predict patterns of selection
62	occurring at the level of collective traits.
62 63	occurring at the level of collective traits.
62 63 64	occurring at the level of collective traits. MATERIALS AND METHODS
62 63 64 65	occurring at the level of collective traits. MATERIALS AND METHODS
62 63 64 65 66	occurring at the level of collective traits. MATERIALS AND METHODS Focal species and sites:
62 63 64 65 66 67	occurring at the level of collective traits. MATERIALS AND METHODS Focal species and sites: We measured collective foraging aggressiveness in colonies of <i>A. eximius</i> across the Ecuadorian
62 63 64 65 66 67 68	occurring at the level of collective traits. MATERIALS AND METHODS Focal species and sites: We measured collective foraging aggressiveness in colonies of <i>A. eximius</i> across the Ecuadorian Amazon in OctNov. 2017. <i>A. eximius</i> colonies build basket-shaped nests with large capture
62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69	occurring at the level of collective traits. MATERIALS AND METHODS Focal species and sites: We measured collective foraging aggressiveness in colonies of A. eximius across the Ecuadorian Amazon in OctNov. 2017. A. eximius colonies build basket-shaped nests with large capture webs where they hunt collectively. We observed colonies at three sites on the e45 near
62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70	occurring at the level of collective traits. MATERIALS AND METHODS Focal species and sites: We measured collective foraging aggressiveness in colonies of <i>A. eximius</i> across the Ecuadorian Amazon in OctNov. 2017. <i>A. eximius</i> colonies build basket-shaped nests with large capture webs where they hunt collectively. We observed colonies at three sites on the e45 near Archidona (n=14; S 0° 46.214, W 77° 46.604), the e20 towards Coca (n=10; S 0° 43.421, W 77°
 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 	occurring at the level of collective traits. MATERIALS AND METHODS Focal species and sites: We measured collective foraging aggressiveness in colonies of <i>A. eximius</i> across the Ecuadorian Amazon in OctNov. 2017. <i>A. eximius</i> colonies build basket-shaped nests with large capture webs where they hunt collectively. We observed colonies at three sites on the e45 near Archidona (n=14; S 0° 46.214, W 77° 46.604), the e20 towards Coca (n=10; S 0° 43.421, W 77° 39.993), and near the Iyarina lodge (n=9; S 1° 4.027, W 77° 37.228). We further sampled two

W 76° 23.152) and waterways near the Cuyabeno Wildlife Reserve (n=21; S 0° 1.921, W 76°
12.851).

75

76 *Collective aggressiveness*:

We measured colonies' aggressiveness by placing dummy prey (1cm sections of dead leaf) 4cm 77 78 from the rim of the nest basket, and vibrating it with a handheld vibratory device until spiders 79 emerged and seized the dummy prey (Pruitt et al., 2017), between 1000-1600 hours. We recorded the latency of the first spider to contact the dummy. We subtracted the attack latency 80 81 from 600 to obtain an aggression index where higher scores correspond to higher aggressiveness. We repeated these tests every day for four days on a subset of colonies at Archidona (n=11), 82 Ivarina (n=4), and Yasuní (n=10), to assess the repeatability of colony aggressiveness. For the 83 remaining colonies, aggressiveness was only measured once due to logistical constraints. 84 Latency to attack prey is a common measure of foraging aggressiveness in solitary and social 85 spiders (Riechert & Hedrick, 1993, Pruitt et al., 2013, Kralj-Fiser & Schneider, 2012, Kralj-Fiser 86 et al., 2012), and it tightly linked with prey capture success and foraging performance in several 87 species of group-living spiders (Kamath et al., 2018, Pinter-Wollman et al., 2017, Pruitt & 88 Riechert, 2011). 89

90

91 *Habitat measurements and persistence:*

Immediately following aggressiveness assays, we also recorded habitat characteristics and
marked colonies with aluminium tree tags. First, we recorded colony elevation and GPS
coordinates (Garmin eTrex 30x). Then, the canopy cover over each colony was estimated with
using the iPhone application Canopyapp (Davis et al., 2018). We assessed carnivorous ant

presence by measuring latency of ant recruitment to 35g of tuna within 2m of the web (Hoffman & Avilés, 2017), placed on the forest floor beneath the colony. A subset of colonies was run through two such ant-baiting tests, and microhabitat differences in ant recruit speed were found to be consistent through time even within a specific site (r = 0.86, 95% CI: 0.57-0.96, p < 0.0001, n = 21). Faster ant recruitment times were taken as evidence that the microhabitat immediately around the focal colony had a greater risk of attack by predatory ants.

102 We estimated the volume of web baskets by measuring the size of the smallest possible orthotope that contained the basket, by first approximating the shape of each web (e.g., square 103 104 base, circle base) and then taking the necessary measurements to compute the web volume. Web 105 volume increases approximately linearly with group size in A. eximius (Yip et al., 2008, Powers & Aviles, 2007). To determine colony survival, we returned in Oct. 2018, eleven months later, 106 107 and recorded whether the colony contained any remaining living individuals. This time interval corresponds to ~2 generations of A. eximius (Vollrath, 1982). All aluminum tags were then 108 109 removed.

110

111 *Statistical methods*:

We could not satisfactorily fit a generalised linear model simultaneously evaluating the influence of elevation, aggression and colony size on persistence. Moreover, neither colony aggression nor elevation could satisfactorily be transformed towards normality. Finally, aggressiveness was not repeatable within sites, r = 0 (95% CI: 0.0 - 0.157, p = 0.500), indicating that colonies' behavior within each site are relatively independent. Therefore, we compared the elevation, aggressiveness, and web size of colonies that either persisted or not using Mann-Whitney U-

tests. We assessed the correlation between elevation and aggressiveness, and aggressiveness and

119 colony size using Spearman rank correlations. We took the log of basket volume as our index of120 colony size.

To determine whether the relationship between colony persistence and aggression 121 122 depended on the elevation of the colony, we split the data into "high" elevations (above 740m, 25 colonies) and "low" elevations (below 450m, 43 colonies). This split demarcates a natural 123 124 break in our sampling distribution. We then compared the aggressiveness of colonies that 125 persisted or not in each dataset separately using Mann Whitney-U tests. To determine how 126 canopy cover and the presence of predator ants varied with elevation, we performed Spearman 127 rank correlations between elevation and each of canopy cover and the latency for ants to arrive at 128 the tuna bait. There were 71 focal colonies in total. However, three colonies did not have elevations recorded. Four colonies had no web size measurements, owing to their residing in 129 130 relatively inaccessible microhabitats (e.g., suspended over cliffs). Otherwise, sample sizes for each group in each comparison are given below. The repeatability of colonies' aggressiveness 131 132 was assessed by fitting linear a mixed model with "aggressiveness" as the response variable, 133 "colony ID", "site", and "trial iteration", using the rptR package (Stoffel et al., 2017). This 134 allows us to estimate the intra-class correlation coefficient of colony ID, while accounting for 135 variance explained by site and trial iteration. We estimated 95% confidence intervals on repeatability estimates by running the linear mixed model though 1000 bootstrap iterations. As 136 mentioned above, we aimed to measure 25 colonies across three sites four times each, although 137 three colonies only received three measurements, giving 97 measurements across 25 colonies in 138 139 total to assess repeatability.

140

141

RESULTS

142

The influence of aggression on persistence depended on altitude. At high elevations, persisting 143 colonies were less aggressive (mean = 505, n = 19) compared to colonies that vanished (mean = 144 145 592, n = 6; Fig. 1; Wilcox test, W = 2, p < 0.001). At low elevations, colonies that persisted were more aggressive (mean = 582, n = 27) than colonies that vanished (mean = 562, n = 16) but this 146 difference was not significant (W = 272, p = 0.165). Although we could not satisfactorily fit a 147 glmm to our data, the results of a glmm analysis qualitatively matched the results presented here 148 (model predicting colony survival [aggression x elevation]: Est = -13.9 ± 6.30 , z = -2.21, p = 149 0.027). 150 Elevation did not influence colony persistence. The mean elevation of colonies that 151 persisted and vanished was 584m and 479m respectively (Fig. S1; n = 46 & 22 respectively, 152 153 Wilcox test W = 570, p =0.404). Colony web size did not predict persistence; colonies that 154 persisted were no larger than those than did not. Medians (means are highly biased by a few large value) of volume were 143,918 cm³ for colonies that persisted and 90,450 cm³ for colonies 155 156 that vanished, but the median logged values are 11.87 and 11.41 respectively (Fig. S1; n = 46 & 21 respectively, Wilcox test, W = 554, p = 0.344). 157 Colonies' aggressiveness was not related to their web size (Fig. S2; n = 67, Spearman 158 159 rank correlation, S = 47550, p = 0.691, rho = 0.051), but colonies were more aggressive at lower elevations (Fig. S2; n = 68, Spearman rank correlation, S = 65398, p = 0.041, rho = -0.248). 160 Colony aggression was repeatable, r = 0.26 (95% CI: 0.012 - 0.474, p = 0.003). 161 Higher elevations were associated with reduced canopy cover (Spearman rank 162 correlation, S = 66623, p = 0.006, rho = -0.329) and the slower recruitment of ants (Spearman 163 164 rank correlation, S = 21568, p = 0.050, rho = 0.263).

1	6	5
_	_	_

166

DISCUSSION

167

168 Understanding the forces that enable some groups to persist and proliferate when others crash or disband is helpful for predicting how social evolution proceeds in contrasting environments. For 169 170 many social animals, this can be thought of as a kind of group-level viability selection. Colonies 171 of the Amazonian social spider A. eximius exhibit clinal variation in selection on aggressiveness. 172 At odds with our *a priori* predictions, less aggressive colonies outperform their aggressive rivals 173 at resource-poor high elevations. The opposite trend emerges at low elevations, although it was 174 not statistically significant. Given this pattern of selection, one might predict that high elevation A. eximius should be less aggressive overall, either because of local adaptation or via on-going 175 176 viability selection against aggressive colonies. Consistent with this prediction, we observed that 177 colonies of A. eximius at higher elevation do indeed exhibit lower aggressiveness than their lowelevation counterparts. In aggregate, this conveys that site-specific selection on colony 178 179 aggressiveness could play a role in generating geographic variation in colony behavior, akin to 180 patterns observed in solitary species (Drummond & Burghardt, 1983, Magurran & Seghers, 1991, Riechert, 1993, Walsh et al., 2016). 181

The mechanisms underlying the success of non-aggressive colonies at high elevation remain elusive. We predicted that low-resource conditions would favor colonies with swifter foraging responses because, in trap-building predators, foraging is a time-sensitive opportunity. Thus, colonies at high elevations should maximize on the limited foraging opportunities that are available to them (Powers & Aviles, 2007, Guevara & Aviles, 2007). This is often the case for individual-level aggressiveness (Riechert, 1993, Magurran & Seghers, 1991, Dunbrack et al., 188 1996). However, it is perhaps equally plausible that low-resource conditions could favor reduced 189 aggressiveness. If more aggressive colonies engage in more infighting, exhibit higher metabolic rates, or are otherwise more susceptible to starvation, then selection may favor less aggressive 190 191 colonies under low resource conditions because it enables them to persist through times of resource scarcity. This mode of competition is often referred to as *Tilman's R* Rule* (Tilman, 192 193 1982). Consistent with this hypothesis, there is evidence that both aggressive social Anelosimus 194 (Lichtenstein & Pruitt, 2015) and Stegodyphus (Lichtenstein et al., 2017) are more susceptible to 195 starvation, and that non-aggressive *Stegodyphus* colonies can outperform their rivals when 196 resources fall below a critical level (Pruitt et al., in press). Alternatively, smaller average prev sizes at high elevation sites might merely not require the same levels of aggressiveness to subdue 197 than the larger prey of low elevation sites. More detailed work within sites is needed to tease 198 199 apart the mechanisms responsible for this among-site result.

200 We found that ants recruited more quickly to tuna baits at lower elevations. This suggests 201 that the threat of predation from ants, or perhaps the degree of indirect resource competition from 202 ants, will be higher at lower elevations. Either of these could select for higher aggressiveness (or, 203 at least, against docility) in social spiders, which are more frequently attacked by ants a lowelevation sites (Purcell & Aviles, 2008, Hoffman & Avilés, 2017), and this may help to explain 204 205 the patterns of selection that we observed. We also observed reduced canopy cover at higher elevations. While this seems unlikely to directly influence spider colony survival, it may 206 influence the availability of prey (i.e. decreased cover may decrease the number of flying 207 208 invertebrates) or increase web damage costs, and thus, have consequences for the benefits of 209 colony aggression.

210	At odds with previous work, group size was not a significant predictor of colony
211	persistence in our field data on A. eximius. The formation of larger coalitions is frequently
212	associated with reduced group failure rate in social arthropods, and this fact is thought to
213	underlie the formation of social life history trajectories like foundress coalitions in wasps and
214	ants (Fewell & Page, 1999, Seppa et al., 2002, Tibbetts & Reeve, 2003, Miller et al., 2018).
215	Group size dependent survival has also been documented in a number of social (Bilde et al.,
216	2007, Aviles & Tufino, 1998) and transitionally social species of spiders (Lichtenstein et al.,
217	2018). We reason that this discrepancy between findings is because colonies of the smallest size
218	classes (one to a few dozen spiders) are largely missing from our data set, and the persistence
219	benefits of increasing group size are most pronounced at the smallest colony sizes (Lichtenstein
220	et al., 2018, Aviles & Tufino, 1998).
221	In summary we detected a site-specific relationship between colony aggressiveness and
222	persistence in a social spider. Furthermore, we found a cline in aggression with elevation that
223	suggests that the selective benefits to reduced aggression at higher elevations are strong enough
224	to promote appropriate fit between colony traits and the habitats in which they reside.
225	
226	Ethics: The studies herein were conducted on invertebrates and were therefore not subject to
227	ethics approval. Field studies were conducted under research permit N°23-17 IC-FAU-
228	DNB/MA.
229	Data accessibility: The data for this manuscript can be found on Dryad:

230 https://datadryad.org/review?doi=doi:10.5061/dryad.hr90jf2

231	Authors Contributions	: JLLL and BLM	assisted with all	aspects of the study	y pipeline. DTN,
					,

- EC, CS and JE assisted with data collection. DNF and JNP helped to analyze the data and write
- the paper.
- 234 **Competing Interests**: We declare no competing interests.
- **Funding**: Funding for this work was generously provided by the Tri-agency Institutional
- Programs Secretariat Canada 150 Chairs Program to JNP and NSF IOS Grant #1455895 to JNP.
- 237 Acknowledgements: We are indebted to the Ecuadorian ministry of the environment for
- 238 granting our research permit (N°23-17 IC-FAU-DNB/MA) and Dr. Clifford Kiel for his
- sponsorship. We would like to thank the Yasuní Scientific Station of the Pontifical Catholic
- 240 University of Ecuador and Tod Swanson from the Andes and Amazon Field School for logistical
- assistance in the field.
- 242
- 243

REFERENCES

- Aviles, L. 1986. Sex-ratio bias and possible group selection in the social spider anelosimus eximius. *American Naturalist* 128: 1-12.
- Aviles, L. 1993. Interdemic selection and the sex-ratio a social spider perspective. *American Naturalist* 142: 320-345.
- Aviles, L. & Guevara, J. (2017) Sociality in spiders. In: *Comparative social evolution*,
 (Rubenstein, D. R. & Abbot, P., eds.). pp. 188-223. Cambridge University Press,
 Cambridge.
- Aviles, L. & Tufino, P. 1998. Colony size and individual fitness in the social spider anelosimus
 eximius. *American Naturalist* 152: 403-418.
- Bengston, S. & Jandt, J. M. 2014. The development of collective personality: The ontogenetic
 drivers of behavioral variation across groups. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 2: 81.
- Bilde, T., Coates, K. S., Birkhofer, K., Bird, T., Maklakov, A. A., Lubin, Y. & Aviles, L. 2007.
 Survival benefits select for group living in a social spider despite reproductive costs. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 20: 2412-2426.
- Davis, K., Dobrowski, S. Z., Holden, Z. A., Higuera, P. E. & Abatzoglou, J. T. 2018.
 Microclimatic buffering in forests of the future: The role of local water balance. *Ecography*.
- Drummond, H. & Burghardt, G. M. 1983. Geographic-variation in the foraging behavior of the
 garter snake, thamnophis-elegans. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 12: 43-48.

- Dunbrack, R. L., Clarke, L. & Bassler, C. 1996. Population level differences in aggressiveness
 and their relationship to food density in a stream salmonid (salvelinus fontinalis). *Journal of Fish Biology* 48: 615-622.
- Fewell, J. H. & Page, R. E. 1999. The emergence of division of labour in forced associations of
 normally solitary ant queens. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* 1: 537-548.
- Gordon, D. M. 2013. The rewards of restraint in the collective regulation of foraging by
 harvester ant colonies. *Nature*.
- Guevara, J. & Aviles, L. 2007. Multiple techniques confirm elevational differences in insect size
 that may influence spider sociality. *Ecology* 88: 2015-2023.
- Guevara, J. & Aviles, L. 2015. Ecological predictors of spider sociality in the americas. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 24: 1181-1191.
- Hahn, D. A. & Tschinkel, W. R. 1997. Settlement and distribution of colony-founding queens of
 the arboreal ant, crematogaster ashmeadi, in a longleaf pine forest. *Insectes Sociaux* 44:
 323-336.
- Henschel, J. R., Lubin, Y. D. & Schneider, J. 1995. Sexual competition in an inbreeding social
 spider, stegodyphus-dumicola (araneae, eresidae). *Insectes Sociaux* 42: 419-426.
- Hoffman, C. R. & Avilés, L. 2017. Rain, predators, and spider sociality: A manipulative
 experiment. *Behavioral Ecology* 28: 589-596.
- Jandt, J. M., Bengston, S., Pinter-Wollman, N., Pruitt, J. N., Raine, N. E., Dornhaus, A. & Sih,
 A. 2014. Behavioral syndromes and social insects: Multiple levels of personality.
 Biological Reviews 89: 48-67.
- Kamath, A., Primavera, S. D., Wright, C. M., Doering, G. N., Sheehy, K. A., Pinter-wollman, N.
 & Pruitt, J. N. 2018. Collective behavior and colony persistence of social spiders depends on their physical environment.
- Kralj-Fiser, S. & Schneider, J. M. 2012. Individual behavioural consistency and plasticity in an
 urban spider. *Animal Behaviour* 84: 197-204.
- Kralj-Fiser, S., Schneider, J. M., Justinek, Z., Kalin, S., Gregoric, M., Pekar, S. & Kuntner, M.
 2012. Mate quality, not aggressive spillover, explains sexual cannibalism in a size dimorphic spider. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 66: 145-151.
- 292 Krause, J. & Ruxton, G. D. 2002. *Living in groups*. Oxford Press, Oxford UK.
- Lichtenstein, J. L. L., Bengston, S., Aviles, L. & Pruitt, J. N. 2018. Female-biased sex ratios
 increase colony survival and reproductive output in the spider anelosimus studiosus. *The American Nauralist*.
- Lichtenstein, J. L. L. & Pruitt, J. N. 2015. Similar patterns of frequency □ dependent selection on
 animal personalities emerge in three species of social spiders. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*.
- Lichtenstein, J. L. L., Wright, C. M., Luscuskie, L. P., Montgomery, G. A., Pinter-Wollman, N.
 & Pruitt, J. N. 2017. Participation in cooperative prey capture and the benefits gained
 from it are associated with individual personality. *Current Zoology* 63: 561-567.
- Magurran, A. E. & Seghers, B. H. 1991. Variation in schooling and aggression amongst guppy
 (poecilia-reticulata) populations in trinidad. *Behaviour* 118: 214-234.
- Miller, S. E., Bluher, S. E., Bell, E., Cini, A., da Silva, R. C., de Souza, A. R., Gandia, K. M.,
 Jandt, J., Loope, K., Prato, A., Pruitt, J. N., Rankin, D., Rankin, E., Southon, R. J., Uy, F.
 M. K. Weiner, S. Weight, C. M. Deuming, H. Cadachen, P. Lemmin, M. C. Pueine,
- 306 M. K., Weiner, S., Wright, C. M., Downing, H., Gadagkar, R., Lorenzi, M. C., Rusina,
- L., Sumner, S., Tibbetts, E. A., Toth, A. & Sheehan, M. J. 2018. Waspnest: A worldwide
 assessment of social polistine nesting behavior. *Ecology* 99: 2405-2405.

- Pinter-Wollman, N., Mi, B. R. & Pruitt, J. N. 2017. Replacing bold individuals has a smaller
 impact on group performance than replacing shy individuals. *Behavioral Ecology* 28:
 883-889.
- Powers, K. S. & Aviles, L. 2007. The role of prey size and abundance in the geographical
 distribution of spider sociality. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 76: 995-1003.
- Pruitt, J. N. 2012. Behavioural traits of colony founders affect the life history of their colonies.
 Ecology Letters 15: 1026–1032.
- Pruitt, J. N. & Goodnight, C. J. 2014. Site-specific group selection drives locally adapted colony
 compositions. *Nature* 28: 1248-1256.
- Pruitt, J. N., Grinsted, L. & Settepani, V. 2013. Linking levels of personality: Personalities of the
 'average' and 'most extreme' group members predict colony-level personality. *Animal Behaviour* 86: 391-399.
- Pruitt, J. N., McEwen, B. S., Cassidy, S. T., Najm, G. A. & Pinter-wollman, N. in press.
 Experimental evidence of frequency-dependent selection on group behaviour. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*.
- Pruitt, J. N. & Riechert, S. E. 2011. How within-group behavioral variation and task efficiency
 enhance fitness in a social group. *Proceedings of the Royal Society Biological Sciences Series B* 278: 1209-1215.
- Pruitt, J. N., Wright, C. M., Lichtenstein, J. L., Chism, G. T., McEwen, B. L., Kamath, A. &
 Pinter-Wollman, N. 2017. Selection for collective aggressiveness favors social
 susceptibility in social spiders. *Current Biology* 28: 100-105.e4.
- Pruitt, J. N., Wright, C. M., Lichtenstein, J. L. L., Chism, G. T., McEwen, B. L., Kamath, A. &
 Pinter-Wollman, N. 2018. Selection for collective aggressiveness favors social
 susceptibility in social spiders. *Current Biology* 28: 100-+.
- Purcell, J. & Aviles, L. 2008. Gradients of precipitation and ant abundance may contribute to the
 altitudinal range limit of subsocial spiders: Insights from a transplant experiment.
 Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 275: 2617-2625.
- Riechert, S. & Roeloffs, R. (1993) Inbreeding and its consequences in the social spiders. In: *The natural history of inbreeding and outbreeding*, (N, T., ed.). pp. 283-303. University of
 Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Riechert, S. E. (1993) The evolution of behavioral phenotypes lessons learned from divergent
 spider populations. In: *Advances in the study of behavior, vol 22*, Vol. 22. pp. 103-134
 Advances in the study of behavior.
- Riechert, S. E. & Hedrick, A. V. 1993. A test for correlations among fitness-linked behavioral
 traits in the spider agelenopsis-aperta (araneae, agelenidae). *Animal Behaviour* 46: 669 675.
- Seppa, P., Queller, D. C. & Strassmann, J. E. 2002. Reproduction in foundress associations of
 the social wasp, polistes carolina: Conventions, competition, and skew. *Behavioral Ecology* 13: 531-542.
- Shaffer, Z., Sasaki, T., Haney, B., Janssen, M., Pratt, S. C. & Fewell, J. H. 2016. The foundress's
 dilemma: Group selection for cooperation among queens of the harvester ant,
 pogonomyrmex californicus. *Scientific Reports* 6.
- Stoffel, M. A., Nakagawa, S. & Schielzeth, H. 2017. Rptr: Repeatability estimation and variance
 decomposition by generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 8: 1639-1644.

- Tibbetts, E. A. & Reeve, H. K. 2003. Benefits of foundress associations in the paper wasp
 polistes dominulus: Increased productivity and survival, but no assurance of fitness
 returns. *Behavioral Ecology* 14: 510-514.
- Tilman, D. 1982. *Resource competition and community structure*. Princeton University Press,
 Princeton NJ.
- Vollrath, F. 1982. Colony foundation in a social spider. *Zeitschrift Fur Tierpsychologie-Journal of Comparative Ethology* 60: 313-324.
- Walsh, M. R., Broyles, W., Beston, S. M. & Munch, S. B. 2016. Predator-driven brain size
 evolution in natural populations of trinidadian killifish (rivulus hartii). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 283.
- Watanabe, M. E. 2008. Colony collapse disorder: Many suspects, no smoking gun. *Bioscience*58: 384-388.
- Wray, M. K., Mattila, H. R. & Seeley, T. D. 2011. Collective personalities in honeybee colonies
 are linked to colony fitness. *Animal Behaviour* 81: 559-568.
- Wray, M. K. & Seeley, T. D. 2011. Consistent personality differences in house-hunting behavior
 but not decision speed in swarms of honey bees (apis mellifera). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 65: 2061-2070.
- Yip, E. C., Powers, K. S. & Aviles, L. 2008. Cooperative capture of large prey solves scaling
 challenge faced by spider societies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 105: 11818-11822.
- 374

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/610436. this version posted April 16, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

375 Figures & Supplementary Figures:



376



378 (>740m) elevation sites. Aggression was 600 minus the latency to attack (maximum 600

379 seconds) hence is unitless.

380

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/610436. this version posted April 16, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.



381

Figure S1. The difference in elevation (metres, a.) and colony size (the log the basket volume,

- b.) of colonies that either survived or perished. Neither elevation nor colony size differed
- between colonies that survived or perished.



386

Figure S2. The relationship between colony aggression and colony size (log of basket volume,
a.), and elevation (metres, b.). Aggression was not related to colony size, while it is weakly
negatively correlated with elevation.